ARTICLE 38(6) CSDR DISCLOSURE: IRISH LAW

Introduction

As of the date of this document, Barclays Bank Ireland PLC (Barclays, we or our), an Irish bank incorporated in Ireland, is a direct participant (see glossary) of Clearstream Banking S.A., Luxembourg, a Central Securities Depository (CSD) domiciled in the EEA.

The purpose of this document is to disclose the levels of protection associated with the different levels of segregation that we provide in respect of securities that we hold directly for clients with CSDs, within the EEA including a description of the main legal implications of the respective levels of segregation offered and information on the insolvency law applicable. This disclosure is required under Article 38(6) of the Central Securities Depositories Regulation (CSDR) in relation to CSDs in the EEA under CSDR.

As a CSD in the EEA, Clearstream Banking S.A., Luxembourg has its own disclosure obligations under CSDR and we include a link to its website further below.

This document is not intended to constitute legal or other advice and should not be relied upon as such. Clients should seek their own legal advice if they require any guidance on the matters discussed in this document.

This document may be updated from time to time, with the most recent version being made available on our website. You should ensure that you consider the most recent version of this document on our website, which will supersede and override any previous version.

Background

In our own books and records, we record each client's individual entitlement to securities that we hold for that client in a separate client account. We also open accounts with CSDs in our own name in which we hold clients' securities. We will make two types of accounts with CSDs available to clients: Individual Client Segregated Accounts (ISAs) and Omnibus Client Segregated Accounts (OSAs).

An ISA is used to hold the securities of a single client and therefore the client's securities are held separately from the securities of other clients and our own proprietary securities.

An OSA is used to hold the securities of a number of clients on a collective basis. However, we do not hold our own proprietary securities in OSAs.

Barclays normal practice will be to utilise OSA account structures. Should you wish to use an ISA, please contact your Relationship Manager or usual Barclays contact. Please note that use of an ISA may change Barclays fees and expenses incurred in the performance of its obligations under the Agreement. Barclays is obligated by CSDR to offer ISAs on reasonable commercial terms and therefore permitted to charge such reasonable increases to our usual fees and expenses to clients wishing to use ISAs.

Main legal implications of levels of segregation

Insolvency

Clients' legal entitlement to the securities that we hold for them directly with CSDs should not be affected by our insolvency, regardless of whether those securities were held in ISAs or OSAs. The

distribution of the securities in practice on an insolvency would depend on a number of factors, the most relevant of which are discussed below.

Application of Irish law on an Insolvency

Were we to become insolvent, we would expect that insolvency proceedings would take place in Ireland and therefore be governed by Irish law. Under Irish law, securities that we hold on behalf of our clients and in which we have no beneficial proprietary interest, should not form part of our assets available for distribution amongst our creditors on our insolvency. Rather, the relevant securities would be deliverable to clients in accordance with each of the relevant client's proprietary interests in the securities. As a result, it should not be necessary for clients to make a claim in our insolvency as a creditor in respect of those securities. Any securities that we hold on behalf of clients and consequently in which we have no beneficial interest should also not be subject to any bail-in process (see glossary), which may be applied to us if we were to become subject to resolution proceedings (see glossary). Accordingly, where we hold securities on behalf of our clients and provided those securities are considered the property of those clients rather than our own property, they should be protected on our insolvency or resolution. This applies whether the securities are held in an OSA or an ISA.

Nature of clients' interests

Although our clients' securities are registered in our name at the relevant CSD, we hold them on behalf of our clients, who are considered as a matter of law to have a beneficial proprietary interest in those securities. This is in addition to any contractual right a client may have against us to have the securities delivered to them.

This applies both in the case of ISAs and OSAs. However, the nature of clients' interests in ISAs and OSAs is different. In relation to an ISA, the single client to whom the ISA relates is beneficially entitled to all of the securities held in that ISA. In the case of an OSA, as the securities are held collectively in a single account, each client is normally considered to have a beneficial interest in all of the securities in the account proportionate to its holding of securities.

Our books and records constitute evidence of our clients' beneficial interests in the securities. The ability to rely on such evidence would be particularly important on insolvency. In the case of either an ISA or an OSA, an insolvency practitioner may require a full reconciliation of the books and records in respect of all securities accounts prior to the release of any securities from those accounts.

In addition to being regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland and being subject to safeguarding requirements in respect of client financial instruments, under the European Union (Markets in Financial Instruments) Regulations 2017, BBI offers client asset protections to clients in line with the standards set by the client asset rules of the UK Financial Conduct Authority (CASS Rules) except where this is inconsistent with Irish regulation. The CASS Rules contain strict and detailed requirements as to the maintenance of accurate books and records and the reconciliation of our records against those of the CSDs with which accounts are held. As long as books and records are maintained in accordance with the CASS Rules, clients should receive the same level of protection from both ISAs and OSAs.

Shortfalls

If there were a shortfall between the number of securities that we are obliged to deliver to clients and the number of securities that we hold on their behalf in either an ISA or an OSA, this could result in fewer securities than clients are entitled to being returned to them on our insolvency. The way in which a shortfall could arise would be different as between ISAs and OSAs (see further below).

How a shortfall may arise

A shortfall could arise for a number of reasons including as a result of administrative error, intraday movements or counterparty default following the exercise of rights of reuse. If agreed with the relevant clients, a shortfall may also arise in the case of an OSA as a result of securities belonging to one client being used by another client for intra-day settlement purposes.

Where we have been requested to settle a transaction for a client and that client has insufficient securities held with us to carry out that settlement, we generally have two options:

(i) in the case of both an ISA and an OSA, to only carry out the settlement once the client has delivered to us the additional securities needed to meet the settlement obligation; or

(ii) in the case of an OSA, to make use of other securities held in that account to carry out settlement subject to an obligation on the part of the relevant client to make good that shortfall and subject to any relevant client consents required.

Where option (ii) is used, this increases the risks to clients holding securities in the OSA as it makes it more likely that a shortfall in the account could arise as a result of the relevant client failing to meet its obligation to reimburse the OSA for the securities used.

In the case of an ISA, only option (i) above would be available, which would prevent the use of securities in that account for other clients and therefore any resulting shortfall. However, it also increases the risk of settlement failure which in turn may incur additional buy-in costs or penalties and/or may delay settlement as we would be unable to settle where there are insufficient securities in the account.

Where clients' securities are held in an OSA, we will use option (ii) in accordance with agreed contractual terms.

Treatment of a shortfall

In the case of an ISA, the whole of any shortfall on that ISA would be attributable to the client for whom the account is held and would not be shared with other clients for whom we hold securities. Similarly, the client would not be exposed to a shortfall on an account held for another client or clients.

In the case of an OSA, the shortfall would be shared among the clients with an interest in the securities held in the OSA (see further below). Therefore, a client may be exposed to a shortfall even where securities have been lost in circumstances which are completely unrelated to that client.

The risk of a shortfall arising is, however, mitigated as we may in certain situations to set aside our own cash or securities to cover shortfalls identified during the process of reconciling our records with those of the CSDs with which securities are held.

If we were to become insolvent at such time where a shortfall persisted and were to enter into a formal insolvency process (e.g. liquidation), clients could, depending on the circumstances, rank as general unsecured creditors for any amounts owing to them in connection with any shortfall. Clients would therefore be exposed to the risks of our insolvency, including the risk that they may not be able to recover all or part of any amounts claimed. Furthermore, specifically in the case of an OSA, where there are multiple clients with an interest in the securities held in a particular OSA and the rights of those clients to the underlying securities are required to be determined, there is a risk that a liquidator appointed to us may be entitled to deduct from the value of the securities the costs incurred by them in ascertaining the rights of the clients and administering the return of the securities to them.

In these circumstances, clients could be exposed to the risk of loss on our insolvency. If securities were held in an ISA, the entire loss would be borne by the client for whom the relevant account was held. If securities were held in an OSA, the loss would be allocated between the clients with an interest in that account.

In order to calculate clients' shares of any shortfall in respect of an OSA, each client's entitlement to securities held within that account would need to be established as a matter of law and fact based on our books and records. Any shortfall in a particular security held in an OSA would then be allocated among all clients with an interest in that security in the account. It is likely that this allocation would be made rateably between clients with an interest in that security in the OSA, although arguments could be made that in certain circumstances a shortfall in a particular security in an OSA should be attributed to a particular client or clients. It may therefore be a time consuming process to confirm each client's entitlement. This could give rise to delays in returning securities and initial uncertainty for a client as to its actual entitlement on an insolvency. Ascertaining clients' entitlements could also give rise to the expense of litigation and, as mentioned above, result in additional costs in the liquidation, which could be paid out of clients' securities.

Security interests

Security interest granted to third party

Security interests granted over clients' securities could have a different impact in the case of ISAs and OSAs.

Where a client purported to grant a security interest over its interest in securities held in an OSA and the security interest was asserted against the CSD with which the account was held, there could be a delay in the return of securities to all clients holding securities in the relevant account, including those clients who had not granted a security interest, and a possible shortfall in the account. However, in practice, we would expect that the beneficiary of a security interest over a client's securities would perfect its security by notifying us rather than the relevant CSD and would seek to enforce the security against us rather than against such CSD, with which it had no relationship. We would also expect CSDs to refuse to recognise a claim asserted by anyone other than ourselves as account holder.

Security interest granted to CSD

Where the CSD benefits from a security interest over securities held for a client, there could be a delay in the return of securities to a client (and a possible shortfall) in the event that we failed to satisfy our obligations to the CSD and the security interest was enforced. This applies whether the securities are held in an ISA or an OSA. However, in practice, we would expect that a CSD would first seek recourse to any securities held in our own proprietary accounts to satisfy our obligations and only then make use of securities in client accounts. We would also expect a CSD to enforce its security rateably across client accounts held with it.

Furthermore, the European Union (Markets in Financial Instruments) Regulations 2017 restrict the situations in which we may grant a security interest over securities held in a client account.

CSD disclosures

Set out below is a link to Clearstream Banking S.A., Luxembourg's website. We expect Clearstream Banking S.A., Luxembourg to make its own disclosures with respect to CSDR Article 38. Any disclosures on this website have been provided by Clearstream Banking S.A., Luxembourg. We have not

investigated or performed due diligence on the disclosures and clients rely on the CSD disclosures at their own risk.

Central Securities Depositary and website:

Clearstream Banking S.A., Luxembourg	https://www.clearstream.com

Costs disclosures

If you opt to hold your eligible assets in an Individual Client Segregated Account there will be an additional quarterly fee initially set at €2250 (or currency equivalent) to reflect the additional operational costs of an Individual Client Segregated Account. If you were to leave or join the service part way through a quarter, the fee would be charged on a monthly pro-rata basis.

GLOSSARY

Bail-in refers to the process under the European Union (Bank Recovery and Resolution) Regulations 2015 (as amended) or contractual bail-in (as applicable) applicable to failing Irish banks and investment firms under which the firm's liabilities to clients may be modified, for example by being written down or converted into equity.

Central Securities Depository or ***CSD*** is an entity which records legal entitlements to dematerialised securities and operates a system for the settlement of transactions in those securities.

Central Securities Depositories Regulation or ***CSDR*** refers to EU Regulation 909/2014 which sets out rules applicable to CSDs and their participants.

Direct participant means an entity that holds securities in an account with a CSD and is responsible for settling transactions in securities that take place within a CSD. A direct participant should be distinguished from an indirect participant, which is an entity, such as a global custodian, which appoints a direct participant to hold securities for it with a CSD.

EEA means the European Economic Area.

ISAs means Individual Client Segregated Accounts.

OSAs means Omnibus Client Segregated Accounts.

Resolution proceedings are proceedings for the resolution of failing Irish banks and investment firms under the European Union (Bank Recovery and Resolution) Regulations 2015 (as amended) or contractual bail-in (as applicable).

Disclaimer

This communication:

(i) Has been prepared by Barclays Bank Ireland PLC (Barclays) and is provided for information purposes only and is subject to change. It is indicative only and not binding.

(ii) Is general in nature and does not take into account any specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular person.

(iii) Does not constitute an offer, an invitation or a recommendation to enter into any product or service and does not constitute investment advice, solicitation to buy or sell securities and/or a personal recommendation. Any entry into any product or service requires Barclays' subsequent formal agreement which will be subject to internal approvals and execution of binding documents.

(iv) Has not been reviewed or approved by any regulatory authority.

Where information in this communication has been obtained from third party sources, we believe those sources to be reliable but we do not guarantee the information's accuracy and you should note that it may be incomplete or condensed.

Neither Barclays nor any of its directors, officers, employees, representatives or agents, accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential losses (in contract, tort or otherwise) arising from the use of this communication or its contents or reliance on the information contained herein, except to the extent this would be prohibited by law or regulation. Law or regulation in certain countries may restrict the manner of distribution of this communication and the availability of the products and services, and persons who come into possession of this publication are required to inform themselves of and observe such restrictions.

You have sole responsibility for the management of your tax and legal affairs including making any applicable filings and payments and complying with any applicable laws and regulations. We have not and will not provide you with tax or legal advice and recommend that you obtain independent tax and legal advice tailored to your individual circumstances.